

14th February, 1986.

BETWEEN	Edward James Andrew Clucas	PLAINTIFF
AND	David William Roberts	FIRST DEFENDANT
AND	Centre Management (C.I.) Limited	SECOND DEFENDANT

**BEFORE The Deputy Bailiff, assisted by
Jurats Vint and Misson.**

RE: Injunctions.

DEPUTY BAILIFF: has considered very carefully everything that has been said and has decided that it will with-hold or in this case, discharge, the interim injunctions but as a condition of so doing, will require from both defendants, an undertaking embodying sub-paragraphs (e) to (h) of the previous injunction. Such undertaking to be until the hearing of the cause or until further order. So the door is not closed. Costs will be in the cause and the Court will not deal with the application to dismiss the second defendant because no notice was given - it would be unfair to Mr. Voisin - and the application will have to be by summons. Is that undertaken.