

POLICE COURT

2nd February, 1987

Her Majesty's Attorney General

- v -

Royston Albert Le Monnier

DEPUTY BAILIFF: This Court has previously upheld sentences of up to and including six months' imprisonment for a single offence of drunkenness and has itself imposed sentences in excess of six months.

Having regard to the appellant's appalling record, a sentence of three months' imprisonment for a single offence cannot possibly be regarded as manifestly excessive.

And it is right and correct in principle that a sentence for the original offence, where a binding over order has been breached, should be consecutive.

The only criticism one could make in this case is that the appellant has been treated far too leniently for far too long by the Magistrates Court.

Clearly the appellant was shocked by the sentence he received. That may be just what was needed. It is up to him to seek treatment on a voluntary basis for the drink problem he obviously has.

The appeal is dismissed.

Mr. Meiklejohn will have his legal aid costs.

(no authorities noted)

MM