

143  
87/47.

ROYAL COURT

(Superior Number).

7th September, 1987.

Before:           The Bailiff, assisted by:  
                  Jurat Perrée,           Jurat Le Boutillier,  
                  Jurat Coutanche,    Jurat Bonn,  
                  Jurat Vint,            Jurat Orchard,  
                  Jurat Mrs. Myles,    Jurat Hamon  
                                  and Jurat Gruchy.

—  
The Attorney General

-v-

Michael Paul Wood-Hall.

—  
The Solicitor General

Advocate S.J. Habin for Wood-Hall.

Judgment.

The Bailiff: Having regard to the long period of time over which these acts of dishonesty took place, and having regard to the authorities, to which we have been referred, to the fact that no money was repaid, to the fact that there was this trust, although it is perfectly true an application came in 1976 and was refused, and even taking into account that the money appears to have been spent on living expenses and not in high-living, we cannot say that the conclusions asked for are wrong and therefore they are granted.

You are sentenced to three years.

**Cases cited:**

Barrick: (1985) Cr. App. R. Vol 81. p. 78.

Pagett: Jersey Judgments, 24th September, 1984.

Goodsir: Jersey Judgments, 29th February, 1984.

**Texts cited.**

D.A. Thomas "Principles of Sentencing" (2nd Edn): p.p. 152-155

p.p. 163-165.