

IN THE ROYAL COURT OF THE ISLAND OF JERSEY

9th October, 1987

Before Commissioner P.R. Le Cras, sitting alone by virtue of  
the provisions of Rule 3/6 of the Royal Court Rules, 1982.

BETWEEN	Vinod Raj Mehra	PLAINTIFF
AND	Jyotsna Nalinkalt Kilachand	FIRST DEFENDANT
AND	Grindlays Bank (Jersey) Limited	SECOND DEFENDANT

Summons for leave to deliver interrogatories

Advocate T.J. Le Cocq for the first defendant (applicant)

Advocate J.G. White for the plaintiff.

Commissioner Le Cras: "I should say at the outset that in general the Court will follow though will not be bound by English precedent in matters dealing with interrogatories. Having listened with great care to the arguments and authorities cited, I have come to the conclusion that the unanswered interrogatories go beyond the bounds of what is necessary either for disposing fairly of the cause or for saving costs therefore it follows that the summons in so far as it has not been answered will be dismissed."

LIST OF AUTHORITIES

Royal Court Rules, 1982, Rule 6/15

Supreme Court Practice 1988, Order 26

Parker -v- Wells (1881) 18Ch.D. 477.

Marriott -v- Chamberlain (1886) 17 Q.B.D. 154.

Lever -v- Associated Newspapers (1907) 2K.B. 626.

White & Co. -v- Credit Reform Association (1905) 1K.B. 653.

Rofe -v- Kevorkian (1936) 2 ALL. E.R. 1334.

Hennessy -v- Wright (No 2) (1819) 24 Q.B.D. 445.

Lyell -v- Kennedy (1883) H.L. Vol VIII 217

Duke of Sutherland -v- British Dominions Law Settlement Corporation Limited  
(1926) Ch.D. 746