ROYAL COURT

22nd January, 1988

Before: Sir P.L. Crill, KT., C.B.E., Bailiff of Jersey assisted by Jurats Vint and Gruchy

H.M. Attorney General

- v -

Kenneth William Hume McSherry and Solange Irene McSherry

One infraction of paragraph (1)(A) of Article 14 of the Housing (Jersey) Law 1949

H.M. Attorney General for the Crown Advocate R.G. Day for both Defendants

JUDG MENT

THE BAILIFF: I really think, Mr Day, that the conclusions asked for are really quite moderate, having regard to the need for strict observance of the conditions which are imposed by the Housing Committee. The word 'exploitation' has been used. We note the explanation your client has given that he was approached by one or two of the girls, or at least one and that he succumbed to the temptation. We think that the Attorney General has taken all these matters into account in moving for the conclusions. They do not seem excessive to us and accordingly each of your clients is fined £375 or in default three months and ordered to pay £200 by way of costs jointly and severally. And the accommodation must cease, of course.