

ROYAL COURT

2nd April, 1993

Before: The Bailiff, and  
Jurats Myles and Rumfitt

\_\_\_\_\_

48.

The Attorney General

- v -

Martin Guy Webster

\_\_\_\_\_

2 infractions of Article 14(1)(a) of the Housing (Jersey) Law, 1949.

AGE: 39.

PLEA: Facts admitted.

**DETAILS OF OFFENCE:**

The defendant let parts of an investment property to unqualified persons over a period of 9 years. He appeared to believe that putting a qualified person in occupation of part of the house enabled other parts to be let to unqualified people. No exemption forms were filed in respect of qualified tenants.

**DETAILS OF MITIGATION:**

Co-operative with inquiries. Put matters right immediately.

**PREVIOUS CONVICTIONS:**

Sundry, but none for Housing infractions.

**CONCLUSIONS:**

1. £10,000 or 6 months' imprisonment.
2. £500 or 1 month's imprisonment consecutive. Plus £500 costs. Total: £10,500 or 7 months' imprisonment.

**SENTENCE AND OBSERVATIONS OF THE COURT:**

1. £7,000 or 5 months' imprisonment.

2. £500 or 1 month's imprisonment consecutive. £500 costs. Three months to pay.

---

**The Attorney General.**  
**Advocate A.D. Hoy for the defendant.**

---

**JUDGMENT**

**THE BAILIFF:** If it had not been for the fact that, as appears from the letter mentioned by your advocate, you had started to put things right a short time before the investigations by the Housing Committee began, we would have granted the conclusions. As it is, we think the appropriate fine is £7,000 or in default 6 months' imprisonment on count 1; and on count 2, £500 or one month's imprisonment consecutive, plus £500 costs. You will be granted three months in which to pay.

No authorities.