make the same furthcoming when this debt should be craved.—The Lords repelled the exception in respect of the reply.

No 57.

Auchinleck, MS. p. 77.

SECT. VII.

The appreciation in the confirmed testament may be corrected by a confirmation ad male appreciata, or by proof of higher value.—License to pursue.

1610. July 19. Paterson against Bannatyne.

No 58.

He who was cautioner for an executor, in confirmation of a testament, will not only be decerned to make the gear confirmed furthcoming to the creditors at the prices contained in the confirmed testament, but also for greater prices, if the goods be decerned to be of greater avail nor the prices confirmed.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 275. Haddington, MS. No 1976.

1621. December 14.

HALYDAY against _____.

No 59.

In an action pursued by Halyday against ——, for payment of certain sums, and goods pertaining to the defunct, unto the pursuer, as the executor-dative decerned ad omissa, and having license to pursue therefor, the Lords found, that there ought to be a testament of the omitted goods acclaimed first confirmed before the pursuit could be sustained; and would not find process upon the license to pursue an action for goods omitted out of the principal confirmed testament, albeit they sustained pursuits at the instance of the executors decerned in principal testaments, upon license to pursue before confirmation of the principal testament.

Act. Belshes.

Alt. Henderson.

Clerk, Gibsons

Durie, p. 7.

1627. November 24.

Gourlay against ----

No 60. An executor confirmed a coffer in the

In a suspension betwixt Gourlay in Leith and _____, anent the exhibition of a coffer, for the which there was decreet given at the instance of the charger,