PROCURATOR.

2610. July 14.

WEDDERBURN against NISBET.

No r.

A N eldest son compearing in his father's cause, will be reputed his procurator, though he produce no mandate.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 210. Haddington.

** This case is No 59. p. 7326. voce Jurisdiction.

Mr James Chalmers against Lady Tinwald. 1665. November 24.

MR JAMES CHALMERS, parson of Dumfries, having obtained a decreet before the Sheriff, for a part of his stipend, against this Lady Tinwald, for whom a procurator compeared, and took a term to produce her, and she succumbed, whereupon she was holden as confessed; she suspends, and alleges that the decreet bears not the procurator to have produced any mandate, and therefore craves to be reponed to her oath.

THE LORDS finding that there was nothing else alleged by the procurator that might infer his being informed or having warrant, but only his taking a day to produce, they would not sustain the decreet, unless the charger instructed the same by proving the quantities.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 210. Stair, v. 1. p. 313.

No 2. A procurator having com-peared for a defender without a mandate, and decree having been passed, it was found not to be in foro.

WILLIAM KING against GEORGE SETON of Barns. 1694. January 10.

No 3.

HALCRAIG reported William King against George Seton of Barns, for some reparations of a house. Barns alleged the decreet was truly in absence, seeing.