

1610. *February 23.* SANDS *against* LOTHIAN.

No 3.

THE action pursued against the relict of a Lord of Session, advocated to the Lords, she remaining widow.

*Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 151. Haddington, MS. No 1823.*

---

1610. *March 9.* SIR ROBERT MELVILLE *against* DAVID LIVINGSTON.

No 4.

ANE Lord of Session, either ordinar or extraordinary, hes privilege to have his actions callit in the inner-house, and may not be compellit to answer in the outer-house.

*Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 151. Haddington, MS. No 1858.*

---

1611. *June 27.* MR P. HAMILTON *against* The TENANTS of Bowschielhill.

No 5.  
Found as above.

THE tenants of Bowschielhill being pursued for their viccarage lands by Mr Patrick Hamilton, minister; my Lord Justice Clerk alleging that he was their master, and had interest to defend in the cause; and, that the Lords of Session had that privilege that their actions should be called in the inner-house, and that they were not holden to answer in the outer-house; THE LORDS found the allegiance relevant, and ordained the matter to be heard in their hail presence.

*Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 151. Haddington, MS. No 2249.*

---

1669. *January 22.*

THE COLLECTOR-GENERAL of the TAXATION *against* THE DIRECTOR of the CHANCELLARY.

No 6.  
The Director of the Chancery is a member of the College of Justice.

THE Director of the Chancellary being charged for the present taxation, imposed in *anno* 1665 by the Convention of Estates, suspended on this reason, That he is a member of the College of Justice, which by the act of Convention are exempted.—It was *answered*, That the members of the College of Justice were never further extended than the Lords, Advocates, Clerks of Session, and Writers to the Signet.—It was *answered*, That the signet depends immediately and chiefly upon the Lords of Session, and writers thereto are of the College of Justice; so the Chancellary depends in the same way upon the Lords, who issue orders thereto from time to time, to give out precepts direct to superiors, or to Bailies, Sheriffs for infesting of supplicants; and therefore the Director of the Chancellary, being writer in that office, must enjoy that privilege, as well as the Writers to the Signet; for albeit the Director gives out precepts and