1575. January 21.

LAIRD of Corstophine, against the LAIRD of Lethingtoun.

No 124. Arrestment of corns, peats, &c. if used the first year of the arrestee's possession of the ground, could not be loosed on caution, after the first year it could.

ARRESTMENT beand maid upon cornis growand upon ony landis, or upon peittis, turvis, divettis, or ony fewell castin in ony ground, the samin on na wayis sall be lousit, nor the landis lettin to borgh to ony person, gif it be the first zier of the riving furth, tilling and sawing of the said cornis, or casting and winning of the said fails divettis and sewall; but the samin sall remane arreistit upon the ground quhill the ground richt be decidit, and cognitioun be takin quha has just richt thairto: But gif it be not the first time, nor the first zeir's crop, the samin arreistment aucht and sould be loused, he at quhais instance it is loused findand cautioun to answer thairanent as law will.

Balfour, (ARRESTMENT.) p. 538.

1619. January 15.

GORDON against BRODIE.

No 125.

Found, That arrestment being loosed, the party in whose hands the money is arrested may lawfully pay.

Kerse, MS. (ARRESTMENT.) fol. 235.

1626. June 21. Lo. Balmerino against L. Lochinvar.

No 126. A cautioner, in loofing arrestment, tound liable. to pay to the arrefter, after his debt was constituted against the common debtor, and that without further difcustion of the persons in whose hands arrestment was used.

In an action at the Lord Balmerino's inflance against L. Lochinvar, who was purfued to make a fum of money furthcoming to him, which was arrested in Denmiln's hands, as owing by him to the L. Balfour, who was decerned to pay to the Lo. Balmerino a fum of money decerned against him, and for payment of the which fum, decerned by that fentence to be paid to the purfuer by the L. Balfour, that fum was arrested in Den-miln's hands, and he pursued to make it furthcoming; for loofing of the which arrestment, the L. Lochinvar became acted cautioner, and who as cautioner was conveened for payment of that fum arrefted.—In this process the Lords found the L. Lochinvar could not be pursued as cautioner foresaid, while it was first tried, and found by sentence that Den-miln, in whose hands the arrestment was laid, was debtor to the Laird Balfour in the sums arrested; for if he was not addebted the time of the faid arrestment, in the sums to the Laird Balfour, the becoming of Lochinvar cautioner at the loofing of the arrestment, could not make him to be debtor; and fo the Lords found no process against. him, as cautioner forefaid, while fentence was recovered, finding the perfon debtor, in whose hands the arrestment was made.

In this process the Lords found, and were of the mind, That the loosing of the arrestment, by finding caution, freed the person in whose hands the arrestment