

the register to give out any such extract :—*1mo.* Because it came in only by a bill ; and Sir George Campbell of Cesnock, Sheriff of Air, was neither called nor heard. *2do.* This act was not touched ; and so the Lords thought they could not supply the royal assent, nor make it an act : and, though many private acts need not touching, yet this was voted ; which ratifications are not. *3tio.* The sheriffship being older than the bailiary, which lies locally within the shire, there did not appear any reason to give it a privative jurisdiction. But the Lords did not hinder the clerk-register to give an extract of it, if he thought he might safely do it.

*Vol. I. Page 625.*

---

1624. July 3. ROBERT MILN, Writer, *against* MR RORY M'KENZIE of DALVENAN, Advocate, and BUTLERS, his Cedents.

THE Lords found, seeing the assignation which the Lady Kirkland gave to Mr William Clerk, in her contract of marriage with him, to her jointure, was with the express burden of the bond she had given to the said Butlers, her children of the first marriage, that this made it real ; so as no creditor of Mr William Clerk's could affect it by arrestment, or otherwise, no more than he could have reached it himself, having, by that clause in his contract, preferred them : notwithstanding the bond made no specific application to her jointure, but was only a personal obligation upon her, and that it was alleged it might be paid, and the discharges abstracted. All which the Lords repelled, unless they would propone a positive defence of payment, or the like : but, if it had been inserted in the contract, only by way of reservation, the Lords would have found it only personal. But a clause, " with the burden," is otherwise.

*Vol. I. Page 625.*

---

1694. July 4. ORROCK of BALRAM *against* KINLOCH and ALEXANDER CHAPMAN.

THE first question was, If the discharges produced under the hand of the two co-partners in the brewery were probative without witnesses. For though, in writs subscribed by sundry parties, each of the subscribers are witnesses to one another, yet that presupposes three subscribers ; for then two are witnesses to each subscription ; but it is not so in two. On the other hand, many writs subsist without witnesses,—as bills of exchange, precepts, discharges of rents, &c. And it was contended, that, being in a matter of a society, though dissolved, the partners' discharge was sufficient to their clerk. This being a sort of commerce, *in materia favorabili*, the Lords inclined to sustain the discharge as probative. But, in regard it was alleged that there was a paper of the same kind, and labouring under the like defect, produced in a former process by thir defenders, which was sustained, the Lords ordained their oaths to be taken for producing it and that process ; for, if they obtained an interlocutor sustaining it, they could not reclaim now ; *nam quodquisque juris in alium statuerit, ut ipse eodem utatur*, is a rule of natural equity. And, as to the former pursuit of Bal-