

they preferred him to the third compriser, who claimed to be preferred to the Doctor, the second compriser. The third compriser *alleged*, as said is, That the legal fell only under his comprising; as he had denounced when the legal was extant, viz. after Haliburton's comprising was complete, and perfected; and that Kincaid's comprising, proceeding upon a denunciation, which could not extend to a legal, which was not then *in rerum natura*, could not carry the reversion; but this plea was repelled. The contrary of this was found *in terminis*, in an action, betwixt Malloch and Murray against Weir, in July 1620. But the LORDS found this last decision the most just, and would hereafter so decide.

No 3.

Aét. Hope & Lawtie.

Alt. Nicolson & Belsber.

Clerk, Giffon.

* * * It is a common opinion, where comprisings are deduced for sums, whereof a part is paid before the comprising, that the comprising falls *in totum*, and will not subsist for the rest of the sums, which are truly owing; and this was found in the action betwixt Lamb and Blackburn, and L. Smeiton, anno 1613, (*see p. 95.*): But there are many who doubt of the equity of that opinion, and think it no reason, that the comprising should fall for the sums which are truly owing, no more than horning and poinding, or arrestment, if they be executed for more than is owing, will not cause the whole execution to fall, but only for so much as is not a just debt; and a decret, obtained for more than is due debt, will not make the sentence to fall *in totum*: And this hath warrant also from the civil law, *de plus petitionibus*. But the reason of the common opinion is, for the fraud of the compriser, to apprise for that which he knows to be wrong, and his fraud is therein punished; but where there is no appearance, or suspicion, of fraud, there the law admits place of excuse, *nam potest existimari inique judicasse, qui rem omnino absolverit, cum constaret & probatum sit, eum partem debere.*

Fel. Dic. v. 1. p. 10. Durie, p. 148.

1627. January 3. HAGIE against HER DAUGHTERS.

HAGIE, relict of John Williamson in Cupar, having charged her own children, three daughters, begotten by him, to enter heirs to their father; they having renounced; she sought adjudication of all his goods; and, among other things, of a bond of 3000 merks, esteemed moveable by a charge, and so not to have been adjudgeable before her husband's decease:—Many of the LORDS thought, that any moveable thing might be adjudged to a creditor, *quia nomina debitorum possunt addici*; but the most part sustained the exception.

Spottiswood, (ADJUDICATION.) p. 8.

No 4.

A bond made moveable by a charge, found not adjudgeable.

1627. January 30. COWPER against WILLIAMSON and BOGMILN.

In an action of adjudication, at the instance of a woman called Cowper, against Williamson and L. Bogmiln, whereby the pursuer craved a bond of some monies

No 5.

An heritable bond, which