

No 152. to be owing L. 26 to Patrick. After her husband's decease, John Scougall's executors pursued her for payment of that L. 26 which she had granted. THE LORDS ' would not sustain action against her for that debt, granted by her in her husband's time,' seeing she could neither prejudge her husband nor herself by it.

*Spottiswood, (HUSBAND AND WIFE.) p. 158.*

1631. *March 18.*

HOWISON *against* LADY LAURIESTON.

No 153.

JOHN HOWISON having pursued the Lady Laurieston for L. 63 for meal and malt furnished to her in her husband's time, when he was absent at Court; the LORDS would not burden her with the payment of it, although it was for provisions to her house; and albeit it was *alleged* that she had a factory from her husband in the time, giving her power to uplift his rents, pay his debts, and transact therefor, and generally to do all his business.

*Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 397. Spottiswood, (HUSBAND AND WIFE.) p. 158.*

1672. *July 10.*

NEILSON *against* GUTHRIE.

No 154.

A MARRIED woman found liable for her wedding clothes, taken off by herself before the marriage; for, though this furnishing was *in rem versum* of the husband and not of the wife, yet here she was bound by her own contract entered into before marriage.

*Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 397. Stair.*

\*.\* See this case No 94. p. 5878.

---

S E C T. H.

Furnishings to a wife who has a separate aliment.

No 155.

A married woman found liable for drugs furnish-

1667. *December 19.* ADAM GAIRNS *against* ELIZABETH ARTHUR.

ADAM GAIRNS as assignee constituted by Patrick Hepburn, pursues Elizabeth Arthur for the drugs furnished to her, and her children at her desire; it was *alleged* absolutor, because she was, and is clad with a husband, and the furni-