disposition was not equivalent to a tack; nor sufficient to defend him against a third party, who was infeft, but only to pursue the granter for implement.

Page 16.

1665. January 25. Alexander Brodie against The Tenants of New-Galloway.

ALEXANDER Brodie, being infeft in the barony of Kenmuir, whereof the lands of New-Galloway are a part, pursues a removing against the tenants of New-Galloway.

It was Alleged for the tenants' not removing,—Because the town is erected in a burgh royal, and the pursuer produces no infeftment of the houses and tene-

ments held in burgage.

The Lords repelled the allegeance, unless the tenants would allege that New-Galloway was dismembered from Kenmuir, and that the town of New-Galloway was infeft, holden of the King in burgage, upon the Viscount of Kenmuir's resignation.

Page 20.

1665. January 26. James Logan of _____ against Elizabeth Galbraith.

THERE is a tenement of land in Leith, called the Catchpaill, whereof umquhile William Logan, skipper there, was heritor; and, by his contract of marriage with Elizabeth Galbraith, he was bound to infeft her in an annualrent of 300 merks forth thereof; whereupon she is infeft, holden of the superior. The said William Logan, her husband, being deceased; and, not being excluded by her contract of marriage, she is likewise kenned to a third of the said tenement, after the decease of the said William, her father's brother's son. James Logan of Consone is heir served to him; and he dispones the said tenement to Richard Logan, his second son; who pursues removing against the said Elizabeth, she being tenant for the time, after the decease of her husband: and Mary Cave, relict of umquhile John Logan, elder brother to the said Robert, did defend thereupon. Decreet is given parte comparante, but nothing proponed for the said Elizabeth; who, being now charged to remove, suspends, upon this reason, That she is kenned to a terce of the said tenement, and so cannot be removed till it be divided; that she has greater interest in the tenement than the charger, because she is provided to the annualrent of 300 merks forth thereof, long before the charger's right; for the byruns whereof, she has adjudged the property, and thereupon stands infeft.

To the first it is answered, 1mo. Competent and omitted, in the foresaid decreet. 2do. The said tenement being within burgh, there can be no terce of the same. Neither can she allege that she bruiks the two parts pro indiviso, the said exception being only in case of lands and tenements, containing several dwelling-houses, which are of their own nature divisible; which this tenement is not: and to the two parts thereof the pursuer has unquestionable right, and so ought to