1672. January 10. Anent Donatars to Escheats.

A DONATAR to the escheat of a rebel, lying over by the space of a year, or any such like time, without declaring his gift, and so suffering the rebel to continue in possession, this retenta possessio was found a great presumption whereupon to infer the gift to be simulated, and to the rebel's behoof; and therefore where the donatar does not diligence to put the rebel from the possession, the Lords will prefer another who has taken a second gift, or any other competing on a right of itself not preferable. Infra January 1676, No. 457.

Advocates' MS. No. 292, § 1, folio 123.

1672. January. Anent Ministers' Stipend.

It was questioned amongst the advocates, whether the bygones of a minister's stipend was debitum fundi, yea or no. Some thought all burdens imposed by a public law and act of Parliament became debita fundi. Of this I doubt much. It was remembered that by the 45th act of Parliament in 1649, ministers' stipends and university rents resting owing for three years allenarly, should affect the ground against singular successors. But it was considered that this Parliament, and the haill acts thereof, were repealed; and though the said 45th act was revived by the 20th act in 1663, yet any who will take pains to compare the two acts will find the said act 45 is only renewed in what it orders anent the shorter and more summary way of poinding for ministers' stipends, and in order to their manses; but the Parliament seems de industria to have omitted that part about their stipends owing for three years to affect the land, as also that part, what shall be the least proportion and quantity of a minister's stipend. Which two questions, if they should be started now, I think the Lords would not follow the direction of that 45th act of Parliament, because antiquated. Quæritur if the annuity be debitum fundi? I fear, because it is the King's concern, it should be so found. Advocates' MS. No. 292, δ 3, folio 123.

1672. January. Anent DENUNCIATION.

THE Lords have found the denunciation of lands to be apprised lawful, though used a year and a half after the date of the letters of apprising; and though they commonly find citations in relation to processes whereon nothing follows within year and day, to be peremptory of that instance, et annali spatio tolli seu extingui, yet they find not the same in executorials. (And even this has no imaginary reason but the benefit of the signet, See 24th July 1665. Row against Viscount of Stormond.) Hence, Quæritur, I charge one upon a decreet, year and day

6