

No 12.

the more formal diligence of other comprisers ; especially, seeing Lundy apprised of new for the same sums, which will come in *pari passu* with the rest, being within year and day.—It was answered, That it is inherent in all jurisdictions, to continue processes to new diets, having kept the first diet ; and that the messenger, by the letters, is constituted sheriff ; and there is no question but sheriffs might, and did, prorogate diets in apprisings ; and the letters bear warrant to fix courts, one or more : And for the continuation, it was but to the next day, in regard of a great speat, the apprising being upon the hill in the open field, during rain ; and it being *modica mora*, to the next day ; which will give no warrant to an arbitrary continuation by messengers, to what interval they please : And as for the place, the Lords, by dispensation, may appoint what place they see convenient ; and albeit the dispensation had been of course, and that therein the clerks had failed ; yet the parties, obtainers of such dispensations, are secure thereby, and ought not to be prejudged.

THE LORDS sustained the apprising ; and found the requisition now produced sufficient ; and found, that the continuing of the diet for so short a time, to be no ground of nullity ; unless the competitors could allege a special cause, which they did, or might have alleged, whereby they were prejudged, by leading the apprising the second day, rather than the first. THE LORDS did also sustain the dispensation of the place ; and having perused the practice, produced at the instance of the Lady Lucia Hamilton, anent an apprising, led at Glasgow by dispensation ; They found, that the Lords did not annul the apprising on that ground ; but the LORDS ordained, That no bill, bearing dispensation, should pass of course in time coming ; but upon special reasons, to be considered by the Lords, or the Ordinary upon the bills ; and that messengers should not continue the diets in apprisings, but upon necessary causes ; and ordained an act to be insert in the books of feditur to that effect.

*Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 4. and 5. Stair, v. 1. p. 752.*

1675. February 3. OLIPHANT of Provostmains against ———.

No 13.

A messenger dying after deducing comprising, but before he subscribed it, the Lords refused to allow another messenger, who only had executed the letters, but had not sat as judge, to subscribe it.

A BILL was given in, desiring, that a comprising being deduced, and the messenger having deceased in the interim, before he subscribed the same ; therefore, another messenger, who was his colleague, might be allowed and warranted to subscribe the said comprising.

THE LORDS considered, that the messenger that was in life, though he had been employed to execute the letters of the comprising, by denouncing and citing ; yet he did not sit, nor was colleague to the deceased messenger, or was judge with him, the day and time of the deducing of the said comprising ; and that a comprising being *processus executivus*, consisting of the executions, and of the process and sentence of comprising, upon the day that the debtor was cited thereto ; though divers messengers may act severally as to citation and denuncia-

tion, yet none of them could be looked upon as the judge and the pronouncer of the sentence, who ought to subscribe the same, but the messenger that did actually sit as judge, and, upon the verdict of the inquest, did decern and adjudge.

*Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 5. Dirleton, p. 112.*

No 13.

1704. February 22.

LIVINGSTON *against* GOODLET.

ANNA LIVINGSTON, and James Edmonston of Broich, her husband, pursue for mails and duties, on a comprising of the lands of Gairdoch; Goodlet of Abbots-haugh compares, and craves to be preferred, as having apprised these lands long before, and you are neither within year and day, nor did you use an order of redemption within the legal, so my apprising being expired, I am proprietor, and you cannot compete with me. *Alleged*, Your apprising is null for want of a previous charge of horning, to make the debt moveable; and which was sustained as a nullity, 20th July 1622, Cranston *against* the Laird of Eastnisbet, (See APPRISING, No 2.) and this should be the rather sufficient to open the legal, to make it current, and your apprising redeemable, that the lands are ten times above the value of the sums apprised for; and it were very hard to carry away a great estate for a small sum, and by an odious expiration to ruin debtors, and to exclude all other lawful creditors; and here the nullity is pleaded to no other intent, but to prevent an exorbitant unjust advantage, for she will pay him his whole principal sum, annual-rents, penalties, and accumulations, with the interest thereof since the disbursing, and all expence he can crave; so no more is intended, but to get access, as a posterior creditor, to the remanent part of the debtor's estate, after he is satisfied *cum omni causa*. *Answered*, The old decisions did seem to require a charge previous to the leading a comprising; but since the year 1627, (now 70 years back), the decisions have clearly run in the contrary, as appears from the practiques cited by Stair, book 3. tit. 2., and which is become so firm and uncontroverted, that it is now looked upon as a principle; and though a comprising be led for never so small a sum, if within the legal it be not wholly paid, but some part of it is still resting, there is no remedy; it carries the property, if you be not within year and day, to come in *pari passu* with it; or if you have neglected to use an order of redemption, within the legal, to stop its running; or, *3tio*, If you cannot subsume and prove he is satisfied and paid by intromission, or otherwise, within the legal; then, if there were never so small a part of it resting, that carries the property of the whole lands apprised *ob penam negligentia*, whatever the disproportion be betwixt the sum and lands; and upon this bottom, of expired comprisings, stands the security of most of the estates of Scotland, which, like a corner-stone, is *non tangendum, non movendum*.—THE LORDS repelled the nullity for the want of a charge; seeing that has been omitted to be done past memory; and, as to the advantage taken of carrying away the estate by an apprising for a small sum, the LORDS found that it was not in their power to remedy: they have, in-

No 14.

The want of a charge which had formerly induced a nullity in apprisings, now in disuetude.

The Court have no power to prorogate legals.