1677. July 11. The Incorporation of Tailors of Edinburgh against Li-TLEJOHN'S CHILDREN and their TUTORS.

THOMAS LITLEJOHN having left a legacy of 500 merks to the trade and incorporation of the tailors of Edinburgh, they pursue his children, as executors, &c. with their tutors and curators, for payment, before the Commissaries of Edinburgh, and obtain a decreet: which thereafter they suspend on thir two reasons.—1mo, That they were confirmed executors-creditors to their father, on their bonds of provision and mother's contract of marriage, and so were not liable to pay legacies. 2do, An-

drew Litlejohn, the tutor, craved preference as to his legacy of L.1000.

Answered to the first,—The oldest son behaved to be liable, for he accepted of a disposition of his father's haill estate, with the burden of debts and legacies. Vide supra, No. 524, Catharine Mitchell's case, (December 14, 1676.) As to the second, theirs had a privilege, being ad pias causas, for maintenance of their poor. 2do, He was in mala fide to accept a legacy, being a witness in the testament, and tutor, especially so considerable a sum: Nam qui adscribit sibi legatum in testamento, non tantum amittit legatum, sed et punitur ut falsarius. See Mercier's Remarques du Droit François, pag. 193; see Cujace in Paratitlo C. De his qui sibi ascribunt in testamentis; see the information of this cause.

Reidfuird repelled the reasons of suspension, and found the letters orderly pro-Advocates' MS. No. 599, folio 293. ceeded.

ANENT MINISTERS' STIPENDS. 1677. July 11.

MINISTERS' stipends were found to prescribe quoad modum probandi, if they were not pursued for within five years: which is conform to the 9th act of the Parliament 1669; which part of the act has hitherto been little adverted to, or propo-Advocates' MS. No. 600, folio 293. ned on.

ANENT REFERENCES TO OATH. 1677. July 11.

THE Lords, by an act, declared, where a person referred a debt, or a reason of suspension or defence to a party's oath, and he simply denied it, the referrer, upon a bill given in to the Lords, should get L.40 Scots modified to him, tanquam pæna temere litigantis. Whereupon there were sundry bills given in, the said Session, and the Lords decerned L.40 accordingly. Vide supra, 4th January 1677, No. 528, δ 4, How a process is to be proven calumnious.

Advocates' MS. No. 602, folio 293.