Lords remitted it back to the bailies, because there was litiscontestation in the cause, though they had raised a blank reduction of that Act; and the Lords found no iniquity in sustaining process against her, notwithstanding of her absolvitor, and her exception of res hactenus judicata, because she was now convened by him super alio medio. Yet Petrus de Ferrariis, and the doctors, think a man by pursuing, omne suum jus in libellum deducit, and an absolvitor should terminate all. See 10th Jan. 1627, Thornton. Vol. I. Page 86.

Anent turning Decreets into Libels.

THERE is a great difference betwixt turning a decreet into a libel, and debating the cause as if they were in a libel; for, in the first case, the denunciation, and all the diligence used thereon, falls; in the second it stands, if the letters shall be found orderly proceeded. 2do, If a decreet be turned into a libel, then the cautioner in the suspension is free; but not so in the other case.

Vol. I. Page 87.

1680. LORD CARDROSS against John Maitland.

January 21.—John Maitland, second son to my Lord Halton, having married my Lord Kilmawers' daughter, and Stewart of Kirkhill's grandchild, obtains from the King the gift of Lord Cardross (who had married Kirkhill's other daughter,) his liferent escheat, upon a horning, whereof Cardross had paid the debt, but neglected to relax, or to take a gift. The narrative of the gift proceeds upon four or five grounds of equity, viz. the great services done by the donatar's father, and his uncle the Duke of Lauderdale, and their predecessors, and other good offices done by them to the Crown. Item, For the worthy memory of Chancellor Glencairn, her grandfather. Item, Because, contrary to law, the equal half of Kirkhill's estate, by tailyies and back-bonds and other such fraudulent means, hath been conveyed away from the Lady Kilmawers and her daughter, (to whom the half of the succession, by the law of God and nature, belonged,) and are enhanced by my Lady Cardross and her Lord. Vide infra, 24th February 1680.

Vol. I. Page 76.

February 24.—The Lord Cardross having raised an improbation of the charge and execution of horning, whereon his escheat is gifted to John Maitland, (vide 21st Jan. 1680,) he gave in a bill, craving a commission for examining the witnesses in the messenger's execution, to lie in retentis, least they should die before June.

The Lords refused it, because it did not appear that they were old or valetudinary. Vide 4th June 1680.

Vol. I. Page 87.

1677, 1679, and 1680. James Hamilton of Manner Eleiston against John Elies of Elieston.

1677. February 8.—This day, James Hamilton of Manner Eleiston gave in a complaint to the Secret Council against Mr John Eleis, elder of Eleiston, ad-