1679. Yet a contract is very favourable where marriage follows; Dury, 4th Dec. 1629, Graham.

Vol. I. Page 104.

1680. June 24. The Commissary of Peebles against a Bailie of Regality.

There was a competition betwixt the Commissary of Peebles and a Bishop's Bailie of a Regality there, which of them was most competent judge to a slander of calling one a thief. Alleged for the Bailie of Regality,—That a regality had power of repledgiation from all other courts, whether in matters civil or criminal; and so the curia Christianitatis should not have meddled to judge here; especially he being a churchman's bailie, and so as capable to judge on scandal as the Official or Commissary. This went to the Lords' answer; but it was the general opinion, that the Commissary was more competent, especially being an ecclesiastic regality, who do not so properly repledge, but only sit and concur with the other judge.

Vol. I. Page 104.

1680. June 25. Agnes Campbell and Andrew Anderson, her Husband, against Patrick Ramsay and John Reid.

Agnes Campbell, relict of Andrew Anderson, printer to his Majesty, having charged Patrick Ramsay and John Reid, upon their bonds, to return and serve her:

The Lords found, by the agreement betwixt the parties, the suspenders could not set up a printing-house of their own, and fulfil likewise the obligements of the said agreement; unless the suspenders will offer to prove it was so communed and agreed upon, that the suspenders might, notwithstanding of the foresaid obligement, go loose, or set up a printing-house of their own; which the Lords find relevant to be proven by the charger's oath; and that she be examined in presence of any persons the suspenders shall bring thither: but would not take a probation contrary to the written agreement; though by privy councillors, who, on her soliciting them, heard her declare those that were apprentices were free to set up for themselves.

Vol. I. Page 104.

1680. June 25. Patrick Young against The Creditors of Gavin Hamilton of Raploch.

Patrick Young, as donatar to the single and liferent escheat of Gavin Hamilton of Raploch, granted to him by the Duke of Hamilton as Lord of the Regality within which Raploch dwells and his lands lie, pursues a special declarator. Alleged for Raploch's creditors,—That no more can fall under the Lord of Regality's gift of escheat but only the mails and duties of the lands lying within that regality. Replied,—His moveables do likewise fall under