No 12.

fun great are richt, and sua deulie belanging to the King's crowne, that it could not be comprehendit under ane general disposition of regalitie.—Which reply the Lords fand relevant, and, in respect thairof, repellit the exception.—Next it it was exception. That this soume could not pertent to the donatour, becaus nather the King nor his donatour could be in and better cais, as ultimus hares, nor the defunct; and the defunct could never have had right to the source controverted, unles sche had been aire to hir father, becaus it was providit to the airesfemell, and sche not being aire semell, had no right; and consequentlie the King, as ultimus hares, could have no right—It was anorit. That the provision was confavit in favour of the bairns semell; and albeit sche was not servit aire, yet the succession to the lands was ordanit, be contract, to pay that soume for her provision, and the King had succeidit in her right.—The Lords repellit the alledgeanne, and fand that the donatour had right.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 93. Haddington, MS. No 649.

1612. February 18.

SIBBALD against Gittes.

No 13. Found in conformity with the above.

The Archbishop of St Andrews found to have no right to the escheats of bastards deceasing within the bounds of his regality, by his general infestment, unless he would offer to prove, that he was infest per expressum in his regality, cum eschetis bastardorum; notwithstanding that he alleged, That he and his predecessors had been in use many times, to decern upon the escheats of bastards deceasing within his regality, and give declarators thereupon; which was found unlawful.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 93. Haddington, MS. No 2406.

SECT. VII.

How far a Donatary of Bastardy is liable for the debts of the Bastard. How a Debt against the Estate of a Bastard may be Constituted. Do Tacks pass to the Donatary?

1685. November 24. GALBRAITH against DEANS.

No 14. A donatary of baftardy found only liable secundum vires hæreditatis.

GEORGE GALBRAITH being creditor to the deceased —— Gib, obtained a sentence against Abigail Deans, as donatar to the bastardy of the said —— Gib her husband, for payment of the debt. She suspended upon this reason, That the decreet was against her in absence, and that she had since obtained the gift of her husband's escheat, which gave her a right to the whole moveables.—It

war answered. That the debt being conditated against her by a santage, and she having intromitted and pessession as donatar to the bastardy, she could not by a subsequent title, expost feels acquired; and after sentence was recovered against her, prejudge the creditate, who had jus acquiritum, seeing she, as donatar of bastardy; was liable to pay all her husband's debts, quad wires he reditates. The Lords sound, That the debt being constituted against her, as donatar to the bastardy, she could not by a subsequent title of escheat, prejudge the charges: And therefore the Lords ordained her to depone upon the quantity and species of her intromission; and allowed her retention, as to the privileged debts, such as liquid mails, servant's seas, expenses of both gifts of bastardy and escheat.

Feb. Diasse. 1. p. 93. Pres. Falcaner, No. 193 p. 72.

Thingship Robbits in make the

1747. June 20.

Rein against The Officers of State.

Rein being creditor to a baftard, who deceast without heirs of his body, raifed a summons against the Officers of State, concluding for a decreet of cognition for constituting his debt, and adjudication of the estate of the bastard.

No appearance was made for the Officers of State; but the Lords doubted how far this method was competent; thinking the proper way to affect the eltate

of a baltard, was to apply for a gift.

Pleaded. That this method was laid down by Stair, B. 3. tit. 3. 46. who cited Craig, l. 2. D. 17. as of the same opinion, and a case where it was so found, No 3. p. 1346.

Observed, That Craig in the cited place did not come up to the opinion delivered by Stair, he only faying that the bastard's estate went to the King burdened with his debts; which was also the whole of the decision; but as adjudication was the only diligence by which the effects of bastards could be affected, it ought to be granted.

THE LORDS found the baffardy proven, and the verity of the debt, and remitted to the Lord Ordinary to proceed accordingly.

Act. Williamson.

Alt. Abrent.

Clerk, Forder.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 90. D. Falconer; v. 1. No-190. p. 356.

1789. July 29.

JAMES FALCONER against ALEXANDER MAY.

JAMES FALCONER having let out part of his lands to a person born out of wedlock, excluding his assignees and subtenants; the question occurred, after the death of the lessee without children, Whether the tack could be assigned by the King to a donatar?

No 14.

No 15.
A creditor of a bankrupt may take a decree of conflictution against the Officers of State, and proceed to adjudge the effate of a bastard.

No 16.

A'tack granted to a baftard, excluding his affigness and fubtenants, does not pass to the Crown's donatar.