February 14. 1696.

LADY RIRIS against Inclis and Wood.

RANKEILOR reported the competition between the Lady Riris, on her liferent right, and Helen Inglis and Katharine Wood, as having right to Lentron, Provost of St Andrew's, his wadfet of these lands. The question was, if the Lady's infeftment was clad with possession before the wadsetter's possession. It was acknowledged, that a husband's possession is the wife's; and farther, that the husband was not in possession; yet if his father, by his reserved liferent in the disposition, which he gave to his son of the fee, was in possession; it was sufficient to validate and clothe his good-daughter's right with possession; though that seemed to be duplex fictio: But the father-in law having confented to Lentron's wadlet, this was found a denuding him, fo far as his possession could not accresce to his fon's Lady, in prejudice of that right. See 21st February 1672, Reid, No 38. p. 1305.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 90. Fountainhall, v. 1. p. 711.

Cod gailed t

a relation of January 11. STODHARD against OSWALD.

CROCERIG reported Marion Stodhard against Sir James Oswald of Fingleton. It was a competition betwixt a public infeftment on an adjudication, and an infeftment of annualrent, which Sir James contended was base, never clad with possession, and so could never have preference to him. Alleged, It was sufficiently clad with possession, in so far as Ninian Henderson her father-in-law, in her contract of marriage with his fon, referved his own liferent, fo his possession was hers.—Answered, 1mo, Whatever a referved liferent may operate inter extraneos, yet a refervation in favour of a father has never been sustained to clothe a base right granted to his son, so as to exclude lawful creditors. 2do, Wherever possession of one clothes the right of another, sictione juris, because I could not possess any other way but by them, the rights in that case must be of a homogeneous nature; but here they are wholly heterogeneous; for the referved liferent is of the property, and the defender's right is an infeftment of annualrent. THE LORDS preferred Sir James Ofwald's public infeftment, and found the father's referved liferent did not clothe the base right with possession.

The ground upon which the Lords decided for Sir James was, that the liferent was burdened with her annuity, and so she had access to poind the ground, and neglected it; otherwife, a right of property includes the annualrent, the first being the jus nobilius, as was found November 23. 1664, Nisbet contra Murray, No. 36. p. 1303.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 90. Fountainhall, v. 1. p. 811.

No 40. A father difponed the fee to his fon, referving his own liferent. His possesfion was found fufficiept.to clothe his daughter-inlaw's right with polfession; tho' that feemed a duplex fictio.

No 41. Found in conformity with No 32. p. 1300.