by an article of the regulations 1695, decreets-arbitral are declared unquarrellable upon any head whatsoever, except that of corruption and bribery, which is not pretended here. 2do. The decreet may be maintained against the injustice founded on; for what hinders a man from binding himself up from bidding at such a roup? If that obligement be lawful, why may it not be the subject of a submission; and that case of Bargenie's and Kennedy's came to no decision, but was transacted. And as to the perpetual reversion, it was only to make the prohibition effectual, that he might not evacuate it by the interposition of a third party; et quod directo fieri non debet, nec per obliquos cunniculos licere debet; and in a late case of a decreet-arbitral, pronounced by one Forrester, between Henderson of Meggetland and John Luke, merchant in Glasgow, though it was carried on by tricks and fraud, yet the Lords would not reduce it, unless they proved bribery or corruption.

The Lords sustained the decreet-arbitral, and repelled the reasons of suspension.

Vol. II. Page 6.

1698. June 29. Euphame Seton against Bernard M'Kenzie.

I REPORTED Euphame Seton, relict of John Robertson, and now spouse to Bailie Gilbert Fife, against Mr Bernard M'Kenzie, for repetition of two years' stipend paid by the said Robertson, her first husband, when factor for the estate of St Germains, in respect they have been forced to pay the same stipend over again to Mr Mungo Watson, minister at the new erected church at Gladsmuir.

Alleged,...There could be no repetition, Imo. Because qui suum recipit condictione non tenetur; and he had right, because he had preached these two years at the church of Tranent on the call and invitation of some of the parishioners, (but not the Presbyterians,) as also had a right to the stipend 1691, from Doctor Gartshore, the incumbent; and to the former year 1692, he had right, by a gift from the Lords of the Treasury. 2do. Esto the minister of Gladsmuir's right were better, in respect of the dismembration of the lands of Greendykes from Tranent kirk, and the union and annexation of them to Gladsmuir kirk; yet John Robertson's heirs or assignees cannot repeat, because you knew of Mr Mungo's right before you paid me, and yet took your hazard; for his decreet of locality is long prior to the discharge, and wherein you were called as a defender; and it cannot be called indebite solutum et per errorem, seeing it was done scienter, et cujus per errorem dati est repetitio ejus consulto dati est donatio; et qui sciens indebitum solvit, is donare præsumitur.

Answered,...However this might take place where a proprietor pays, yet the brocard cannot hold in a factor or negotiorum gestor for another.

The Lords repelled the defences, and found him liable to restore.

Vol. II. Page 7.

1698. February 12 and July 1. LADY DALRY against Archibald Home.

February 12.—The Lady Dalry, as donatar to her husband's escheat, pur-