No 63. Victual being sold conform to samples not sealed at making the bargain, and the buyer having then declined to seal them, it was found, that the samples were not the rule of the bargain, and that the buyer relied upon the faith of the seller.

1713. January 29.

Andrew Cheap, Brother-german to the Laird of Rossie, against Thomas
CLEUGH, Brewer in Portsburgh.

In the process at the instance of Andrew Cheap against Thomas Cleugh, anent a bargain of victual sold by Cheap to him, conform to samples given to him by the seller; the Lords found, That the samples not being sealed at the making of the bargain, and the buyer having then declined to seal them, they were not the rule of the bargain; but the buyer relied upon the faith of the seller.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 358. Forbes, p. 653.

1735. June 24.

PROCURATOR-FISCAL of the Dean of Guild Court against Colonel M'Dowall of Castlesemple.

No 64.

When liquor is sold in bottles, the bottles must be of a certain fixed measure known in law, and the seller is not at liberty to make use of bottles of an uncertain measure. See APPENDIX.

Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 358.

1761. June 16.

Joseph Ralston, Servant to Joseph Allan of St Laurence Chapel, against Thomas Robertson, Tenant in Blackwood.

No 65.
Repetition of the price of an unsound horse, recently quarrelled, sustained upon the implied warrandice of the contract.
See Nos 68.
70. 71. 72.

In October 1758, Joseph Ralston was sent by Mr Allan, his master, to a fair in the town of Ayr in order to purchase a couple of horses for him. He there met with Thomas Robertson, the defender, who sold him a horse for L.8:10:0 Sterling. The price was immediately paid, and the horse delivered; and the pursuer had hardly gone thirty yards with him when he discovered that the horse was racked or slipt in the back, and had also a blemish in one of his eyes. Upon this he immediately insisted, that the defender should take back the horse and repay the price.

This he refused to do, and said, that the horse had got the rack coming over from Ireland in a boat. Upon this Ralston brought a process for repetition of the price against Robertson, before the Sheriff, "who assoilzied the defender, in respect it was not alleged, that he upheld the horse to be sound; and as the faults alleged were not hidden or concealed faults." Soon after this, the horse