it proven, that after the disposition quarrelled, he was at the market cross of Edinburgh in market time of day, and walked there a considerable time unsupported, and therefore in regard there is no proof of supportation in his coming to or going from the said market place, repelled the reason of reduction; although his physician and surgeon proved, that on that very day the disease of which he died was upon him. Vide inter cosdem, voce HEIRS PORTIONERS.

No. 8.

December 8. HENRYSON against HENRYSONS. 1736.

An assignation to an heritable bond to two orphans, the granter's grandchildren, who had nothing, reduced ex capite lecti, notwithstanding the natural obligation, and that the granter had ordered the assignation to be made out while in *liege poustie*, but was delayed by his writer.

No. 9:

1738. November 28.

WILLIAM IRVINE against AGNES IRVINE and HER HUSBAND.

JOHN IRVINE of Drumcoltran, in 1708, disponed his lands to his youngest Title to pursue reson Thomas and his heirs, reserving a power to alter. In 1711, after duction ex capite Thomas's death, he, upon the narrative of good deeds received from Thomas, obliged him to reiterate and renew all dispositions in favour of Thomas, in name of his son Christopher and the heirs of his body, whom failing to the descendants of his own eldest son; and this paper, among a bundle of other papers, he put in the hands of his ordinary writer. In 1713, a few days before his death, he granted a new disposition in name of Christopher, then an infant, his heirs and assignees, to which Agnes Irvine his sister has now succeeded. William Irvine, heir-at-law to John by his eldest son, pursues reduction of this last disposition 1713, ex capite lecti, both as heir of the investiture and as substitute, failing heirs of Christopher's body, by the obligement 1711. The Lords thought the putting that writing with others in his writer's hands was no delivery, and though it had been delivered was alterable, being only an obligement to renew a disposition that reserved a power to alter; and that as Christopher could not on the head of death-bed quarrel any alteration, no more could these substitutes to him; and here all the alteration was in Christopher's favour,

No. 10.