

1760. *February 5.*No 222. CAMPBELL of Shawfield and GRAHAM of Gartmore *against* MUIR of Caldwell.

FOUND that it is *jus tertii* to a meeting of freeholders to object to the title of a claimant, upon the footing that his author was barred from selling by an entail.

Fol. Dic. v. 3. p. 430. Fac. Col.

. This case is No 8. p. 7783, *voce* JUS TERTII.

1766. *January 17.*M'LEOD *against* GORDON.

No 223.

M'LEOD of Cadboll stood enrolled as a freeholder of Cromarty upon his whole estate valued at L. 1361 : 10s. Having got a division of his valuation, he granted a feu-right of the whole ; obtained a charter of resignation from the Crown ; granted wadsets of some parts of his superiority, and conveyances of other parts of it to his friends in liferent and himself in fee ; the lands, of which he thus retained the fee, extending to L. 502 : 6 : 4d. of valued rent. This does not appear to have been proved to the freeholders, who, on objections having been duly lodged, struck him off the roll at their Michaelmas meeting. The Court of Session, upon a complaint, ordained him to be replaced as fiar of the lands contained in his titles.—See APPENDIX.

Fol. Dic. v. 3. p. 431.

1767. *January 23.*RANKINE and IRVINE *against* RAMSAY and COLVIL.

No 224.

A MEETING of freeholders refused to take under consideration an objection lodged two months before Michaelmas, in respect it was not signed, nor did it mention by whom it was given in, or in whose name. Upon a complaint, the LORDS reversed the judgment, as having no foundation in the statutes.—See APPENDIX.

Fol. Dic. v. 3. p. 431.

1767. *January 23.*ROBERT RANKINE of Colden *against* ALLAN RAMSAY of Kinkell.

No 225.
Freeholders
cannot alter
the order of
the roll.

AT Michaelmas head-court for the shire of Kinross, in October 1766, the only freeholders present were, Mr Ramsay of Kinkell, and Mr Rankine of