

No 82.

As, however, posterior to the decret in question, an act of indemnity had passed, by which the proceedings of persons acting in offices of public trust, without taking the required oaths had been ratified, it was unnecessary to determine the case on any of the grounds which had been insisted on by the parties.

Alt. *Honyman et alii.*Alt. *Wight et alii.*Clerk, *Robertson.*

C.

*Fol. Dic. v. 3. p. 412. Fac. Col. No 322. p. 495.*1787. *February 20.*WILLIAM CUNNINGHAM *against* Sir WILLIAM MAXWELL, Baronet.

No 83.

Sub-division of the valued rent of a barony, made in opposition to the old valuation-roll of the county, inept.

By the general valuation of the lands in the county of Renfrew, made up in 1654, and transmitted in 1709 to the Court of Exchequer, the lands of Bootston, part of the old estate of Craigends, were separately valued at L. 25 Scots.

In the year 1781, the valuation of a part of this estate, including the lands of Bootston, was sub-divided by the Commissioners of Supply, when, instead of adhering to the value formerly put on this parcel, they rated it at L. 34.

William Cunningham having appealed to this decret of division for ascertaining the valued rent of the lands in virtue of which he claimed to be enrolled as a freeholder, the freeholders refused to admit him.

After advising a petition and complaint for Mr Cunningham, with answers for Sir William Maxwell,

“ THE LORDS dismissed the complaint.”

Act. *Geo. Fergusson et alii.*Alt. *Wight et alii.*Clerk, *Robertson.*

C.

*Fol. Dic. v. 3. p. 412. Fac. Col. No 323. p. 497.*

## S E C T. VI.

Who may act as Commissioners of Supply.—Time of their meeting.—Consequences of their refusing to meet or divide.

1729. *January 1.*SINCLAIR of Freswick *against* DEAN of GUILD of Wick, and BAILIES of Thurso.

No 84.

IN an action against the Dean of Guild of Wick and Bailies of Thurso, as liable to the penalty of L. 20, for having acted as Commissioners of Supply in