



**Upper Tribunal
(Immigration and Asylum Chamber)**

Appeal Number: HU/18388/2016

THE IMMIGRATION ACTS

Heard at Field House

On 12 March 2018

**Decision & Reasons
Promulgated
On 15 March 2018**

Before

UPPER TRIBUNAL JUDGE PITT

Between

**MISS ANITA FORDJOUR BOATENG
(ANONYMITY DIRECTION NOT MADE)**

Appellant

and

ENTRY CLEARANCE OFFICER

Respondent

Representation:

For the Appellant: Mr D Coleman, Counsel, instructed by Nandy & Co

For the Respondent: Mr S Kotas, Senior Home Office Presenting Officer

DECISION AND REASONS

1. In a decision issued on 4 January 2018, I found an error of law in the decision of First-tier Tribunal Beg which refused an appeal against the refusal of entry clearance as a spouse. The decision of the First-tier Tribunal was set aside to be re-made at a hearing in the Upper Tribunal on 12 March 2018.
2. At the hearing on 12 March 2018, the legal representative for the Entry Clearance Officer conceded that the appellant is in a genuine and subsisting relationship with the sponsor and that for the purposes of the

re-making of the appeal as of the date of the hearing, the Immigration Rules were met. In those circumstances, it was also conceded that the appeal should be allowed.

Decision

3. The decision of the First-tier Tribunal was set aside to be re-made.
4. The appeal is re-made as allowed under Article 8 ECHR.

Signed 
Upper Tribunal Judge Pitt

Date 12 March 2018