



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant: Mrs A.L. Sieczkowska

Respondents: Mawi Ltd.

Heard at: London Central
Before: Employment Judge Goodman

On: 2 January 2018

JUDGMENT

The claim is dismissed because not actively pursued.

REASONS

1. A claim for a redundancy payment was presented to the Tribunal on 9 October 2017. A response was filed saying money was sought and asserting that the respondent had 6 months to pay. Thus it appeared the claim itself was not disputed.
2. The claim was listed for hearing today at 2 p.m. Neither side attended. A search of the email inbox was made to see if it had been settled or reasons given for not attending, but without success. ACAS has not made contact.
3. In the absence of information it is has to be assumed that this claim is not actively being pursued -perhaps the payment has now been made. Accordingly it is dismissed under rule 37(d) of the Employment Tribunal Rules of Procedure 2013.
4. If the claim is in fact being actively pursued and there is an explanation for today's failure to attend, it is open to the claimant to apply for reconsideration under rule 70-72.

Employment Judge Goodman on 2 January 2018

Note

Reasons for the decision having been given orally at the hearing, written reasons will not be provided unless requested within 14 days of this written record of the decision being sent to the parties.