

**JUDGMENT**



**EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS**

**Claimant:** Mr. Z Zahid

**Respondent:** Jaguar Land Rover Limited

**HELD AT:** BIRMINGHAM REMOTELY BY CVP

**ON:** 24 October 2024

**BEFORE:** EMPLOYMENT JUDGE MANLEY

**REPRESENTATION**

For the claimant: No attendance

For respondent: Mr. Heard, counsel

**JUDGMENT**

**RECITAL**

**UPON** there being no attendance from the Claimant and upon the Tribunal making enquiries in order to determine whether the Claimant was planning to attend but receiving no response to either a telephone call or email;

**AND UPON** the Tribunal deciding to proceed pursuant to rule 47 of the Employment Tribunal Rules of Procedure (as amended) 2013 in the Claimant's absence;

The judgment of the Tribunal is that:

**JUDGMENT**

1. The Claimant's claim is struck out pursuant to rule 37 of the Employment Tribunal Rules of Procedure (as amended) (2013) on the grounds that the Tribunal finds that it has not been actively pursued.

Employment Judge MANLEY

24/10/24

**Note**

Reasons for the judgment were given orally at the hearing. Written reasons will not be provided unless a party asked for them at the hearing or a party makes a written request within 14 days of the sending of this written record of the decision.

**Public access to employment tribunal decisions**

Judgments (apart from judgments under rule 52) and reasons for the judgments are published, in full, online at [www.gov.uk/employment-tribunal-decisions](http://www.gov.uk/employment-tribunal-decisions) shortly after a copy has been sent to the claimant(s) and respondent(s) in a case.