



BL O/321/05
8th December 2005

PATENTS ACT 1977

PARTIES Bio Pure Technology Limited, Roy Peter Maunder
and David Whitmore Hilton

ISSUE Whether David Whitmore Hilton should be
mentioned as sole inventor in patent number
GB 2361753 as a result of an application
under section 13(1) and 13(3)

HEARING OFFICER S M Williams

DECISION

- 1 Patents Form 7/77 names one inventor, Roy Peter Maunder.
- 2 Bio Pure Technology Limited, the patent proprietors, have applied to have David Whitmore Hilton named as sole inventor in accordance with section 13(1) of the Act and have made an application under section 13(3) to the effect that Roy Peter Maunder should not have been mentioned as an inventor.
- 3 Roy Peter Maunder and David Whitmore Hilton have both provided written consent to the application filed under section 13(1) and 13(3). I therefore conclude that all the relevant parties agree that David Whitmore Hilton should be named as sole inventor in the published patent application and granted patent for the invention.
- 4 Accordingly I find that David Whitmore Hilton should be mentioned as the sole inventor in the published patent application and granted patent for the invention. I also direct, in accordance with rule 14(5), that an addendum slip be prepared for the published patent application and for the granted patent for the invention. The slip will mention David Whitmore Hilton as sole inventor and state that Roy Peter Maunder should not have been named as an inventor.
- 5 This decision serves as a certificate, issued in accordance with section 13(3), to the effect that Roy Peter Maunder should not have been mentioned as an inventor in the published patent application and granted patent for the invention.

S M WILLIAMS

B3 Head of Litigation Section, acting for the Comptroller