"the decree" and their Lordships on appeal held that in order to see what was in issue in a suit or what has been heard and decided the judgment must be looked at. They said "The "decree according to the Code of Procedure is " only to state the relief granted or other determi-" nation of the suit. The determination may be " on various grounds but the decree does not show " on what ground and does not afford any infor-" mation as to the matters which were in issue " or have been decided" (p. 192). It is plain that in the suit of 1869 it was decided by the High Court that the Respondent was the nearest reversionary heir. That is conclusive between him and the Appellant and is sufficient proof of his title to enable him to recover possession of the property from her. Their Lordships will therefore humbly advise Her Majesty to affirm the decree of the High Court and dismiss the appeal. The Appellant will pay the costs of it.

