

PC
GN2.G.1
In the Privy Council.

52, 1933
J.R.
No. 92 of 1932.

ON APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF THE
BAHAMA ISLANDS.

BETWEEN

HIRAM WALKER AND SONS, LIMITED - (*Plaintiffs*) *Appellants*,

AND

CHRISTIE AND COMPANY and CHARLES
F. CHRISTIE - - - - - (*Defendants*) *Respondents*.

CASE FOR THE APPELLANTS.

CASE FOR THE RESPONDENTS.

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS.

BLAKE & REDDEN,
17, Victoria Street, S.W.1,
for the Appellants.

R. A. NEWTON,
126, Long Acre, W.C.2,
for the Respondents.

1933. July 4.

Lord Tomlin
Macpherson
Bright

app
Dunlop
Ramsay Walker.

Rep.
Fox Adams.

10.30 Dunlop

- 1 No binding of contract against bill
- 2 at bill 1 & 2 agreed it was a contract
~~which was to be performed and~~
~~discharged by it.~~
- 3 not sufficient to date was really bill
 but actually bill for
- 4 if a contract it was called a
 promissory note \$15,000.

3rd Bill of Dunlop & Macpherson
Account of Bright

15 C.B. 622. at 629

12.4. Macpherson - why to call.

12.4. Fox Adams

Bright that defense on basis bill had been made

There was a fact a agent had paid and
to a degree of what to see and then

to Tail
negotiation
x Filed copy of evidence not signed to
related evidence with \$15,000

1.10. (not clear)

2. just Tami

App stated, he asked for
what appears to be a note or
less would be to say it is not
just might all to possibly for
approval and