

Privy Council Appeal No. 8 of 1934

Allahabad Appeal No. 29 of 1932

Shaikh Shukrullah and others - - - - - *Appellants*

v.

Musammat Zohra Bibi and others - - - - - *Respondents*

FROM

THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

JUDGMENT OF THE LORDS OF THE JUDICIAL COMMITTEE OF
THE PRIVY COUNCIL, DELIVERED THE 19TH NOVEMBER, 1936

Present at the Hearing:

LORD ROCHE.

SIR SHADI LAL.

SIR GEORGE RANKIN.

[*Delivered by* LORD ROCHE.]

Their Lordships think that in this case they can approve of this compromise; but they desire to point out the difficulty in which they are placed in determining a matter such as this when they are without knowledge of the facts, which alone could be obtained by close investigation of the case itself. Their Lordships desire to intimate that they would be obliged if, in cases such as this, where a compromise which affects the interests of minors is proposed and submitted for their Lordships' approval, the Court from which the case comes upon appeal would take the matter of the proposed compromise into consideration and certify to their Lordships whether in their opinion the proposed compromise is or is not in the interests of the minor or minors. Their Lordships think that as much publicity as possible should be given to this intimation and that in future, when there is a case of a similar kind, the parties who desire to obtain their Lordships' approval to the proposed compromise should ask the Court from which the appeal comes to deal with the matter in accordance with their Lordships' request.

In the Privy Council.

SHAIKH SHUKRULLAH AND OTHERS

MUSAMMAT ZOHRA BIBI AND OTHERS

DELIVERED BY LORD ROCHE

Printed by His Majesty's Stationery Office Press,
Pocock Street, S.E.1.

1936