

37,1937 B & R

In the Privy Council.

No. 94 of 1936.

*[Handwritten signature]*

ON APPEAL

FROM THE COURT OF KING'S BENCH OF THE  
PROVINCE OF QUEBEC (IN APPEAL)

BETWEEN

ST. FRANCIS HYDRO ELECTRIC COMPANY, LIMITED  
and others *(Plaintiffs) Appellants*

AND

HIS MAJESTY THE KING and SOUTHERN CANADA  
POWER COMPANY, LIMITED *(Defendants) Respondents*

CASE FOR THE APPELLANTS  
CASE FOR THE RESPONDENT, HIS MAJESTY THE KING  
CASE FOR THE RESPONDENT, SOUTHERN CANADA POWER CO., LTD.  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS, VOL. I.

*Hearing 5 Feb 1936  
8  
9*

BLAKE & REDDEN,  
17, Victoria Street,  
Westminster, S.W.1.  
*For the Appellants.*

LAWRENCE JONES & CO.,  
Lloyd's Building, E.C.3.  
*For the Respondent, His Majesty the King.*

ALLEN & OVERY,  
3, Finch Lane, E.C.3.  
*For the Respondent, Southern Canada Power Co., Ltd.*

5<sup>th</sup> February 1937

Lords Blanesburgh

Atkin

Maugham

Roche

Mr Henry Rossett

Louis D Laurent & Co

Mr Renault St Laurent

} s. appellants

Aime Giffon & Co.

Edouard Masson

} s. of Debra

Mr O Kearney

s. S.C. Paris C

12.45 Mr L. D. Laurent

3 Questions

399  
Rechen 1100 CC

Rechen Corp of Seba 1879 5 a.c. p 85 at p 92

2nd Day Monday Feb. 8th

10.45 M St Laurent - continuing

1 pm. Rise 1 o'clock  
3:30 Day Tuesday Feb 9th

10.45 AM M St Laurent

Continues to read papers P 72 Book 4

Moham subject of Lord Moulton

Am Note L.C. 1860 Ch 16

Rd 1928 Ch 166 Sec 3

Hooley & Co

A 46 R 5 Q 1925 Dec 32

Miguel v A v p 456

3rd day cont'd - Feb 9

360 Estates of Mannes  
1269

1856 19-20 Vol Ch 104

Leamy v Adams 14 L.R.

Vol 1 p 39      Book 3. p 341.

2nd point

Fee and common discharge

Vol 3 p 285 - Grant precedent

Que. Trustees Co 1921 AC. (1) p 413.

Leamy v The Key 54 S.C.R. 143.

City of Hull v Scott 34 S.C.R. 615

3rd Point

Reparum owners 1 dwelling property 7 point

3-45 Geoffrey

12 points

4<sup>th</sup> day.

Feb 11. 12-30 Mr. Geoburn

S.C. Parn: letter V 123 p. 488

Historical evidence in the crucial evidence and other  
pts to discrepancy

Review of: Newcom

5<sup>th</sup> day

Feb 12<sup>th</sup>

1-45

Jefferson

Blanchard does not want to hear him as Board  
accepts contention as to concurrent findings of fact.

Now does the Board want to hear him in  
regard to free - common carriage question

RS 2 1925 Ch 46

Laurent in reply

12-20. As to concurrent findings  
refers to mistakes made by Board  
and produces plan attached to both